# Wabasha-Kellogg Public Schools

# **Restrictive Procedures Plan**

(Minnesota Statutes, Section 125A.0942, Subd. 1)

- (a) Schools that intend to use restrictive procedures shall maintain and make publicly accessible in an electronic format on a school or district web site or make a paper copy available upon request describing a restrictive procedures plan for children with disabilities that at least
  - (1) Lists the restrictive procedures the school intends to use;
  - (2) Describes how the school will implement a range of positive behavior strategies and provide links to mental health services;
  - (3) Describes how the school will monitor and review the use of restrictive procedures, including:
    - (i) conducting post-use debriefings, consistent with subdivision 3, paragraph (a) clause (5); and
    - (ii) convening an oversight committee to undertake a quarterly review of the use of restrictive procedures based on patterns or problems indicated by similarities in the time of day, day of week, duration of the use of a procedure, the individuals involved, or other factors associated with the use of restrictive procedures; the number of times a restrictive procedure is used schoolwide and for individual children; the number and types of injuries, if any, resulting from the use of restrictive procedures; whether restrictive procedures are used in nonemergency situations; the need for additional staff training; and proposed actions to minimize the use of restrictive procedures; and
  - (4) Includes a written description and documentation of the training staff completed under subdivision 5.
- (b) Schools annually must publicly identify oversight committee members who must at least include:

#### FOR SCHOOL YEAR: 2020-2021

i. mental health professional, school psychologist, or school social worker;

**Name: Wendy Draves** 

i. an expert in positive behavior strategies;

Name: Leanne Kruger, Sarah Danzinger

ii. a special education administrator; and

Name: Amy York

iii. a general education administrator.

Name: Stacy Schultz

Adopted:

October 2016

**Revised:** 

August 2020

### School Building Restrictive Procedures Plan

- **Restrictive procedures** means the use of <u>physical holding</u> or <u>seclusion</u> in an <u>emergency</u>. Restrictive procedures must not be used to punish or otherwise discipline a child.
- An emergency means a situation where immediate intervention is needed to protect a child or other
  individual from physical injury. Emergency does not mean circumstances such as: a child who does
  not respond to a task or request and instead places his or her head on a desk or hides under a desk or
  table; a child who does not respond to a staff person's request unless failing to respond would result
  in physical injury to the child or other individual; or an emergency incident has already occurred and
  no threat of physical injury currently exists.
- Restrictive procedures may be used only in response to behavior that constitutes an emergency, even if written into a child's Individualized Education Program or Behavior Intervention Plan.

#### I. This school intends to use the following restrictive procedure:

#### A. Physical holding:

- "Physical holding" means physical intervention intended to hold a child immobile or limit a
  child's movement, where body contact is the only source of physical restraint, and where
  immobilization is used to effectively gain control of a child in order to protect a child or
  other individual from physical injury.
- 2. The term physical holding does not mean physical contact that:
  - a) Helps a child respond or complete a task;
  - b) Assists a child without restricting the child's movement;
  - c) Is needed to administer an authorized health-related service or procedure; or
  - d) Is needed to physically escort a child when the child does not resist or the child's resistance is minimal.
- 3. Physical holding or seclusion may be used only in an emergency.
  - a) Physical holding or seclusion is the least intrusive intervention that effectively responds to the emergency;
  - b) physical holding or seclusion is not used to discipline a noncompliant child;
  - c) physical holding or seclusion ends when the threat of harm ends and the staff determines the child can safely return to the classroom or activity;
  - d) staff directly observes the child while physical holding or seclusion is being used.
- 4. This school/district intends to use the following types of physical holding:
  - a) CPI's Children's Control Position<sup>sм</sup>
  - b) CPI's Standing Control Position<sup>sм</sup>
  - c) CPI's Sitting Control Position<sup>sм</sup>
  - d) CPI's Team Control Position<sup>sм</sup>
  - e) The school will not use "prone restraint".
- B. At this writing, this school **does <b>NOT** intend to use "seclusion" as a restrictive procedure.
  - "Seclusion" means confining a child alone in a room from which egress is barred. Egress may
    be barred by an adult locking or closing the door in the room or preventing the child from
    leaving the room.
  - 2. Removing a child from an activity to a location where the child cannot participate in or observe the activity <u>is not</u> seclusion.
  - 3. If this school/district has informed director of special education about its intent to use 'seclusion.' The Director will ensure that statutory compliance standards are followed.

#### II. This school will monitor and review the use of restrictive procedures in the following manner:

#### A. Documentation

- 1. Each time physical holding or seclusion is used, the staff person who implements or oversees the physical holding or seclusion documents, as soon as possible after the incident concludes, the following information:
  - a) A description of the incident that led to the physical holding or seclusion;
  - b) Why a less restrictive measure failed or was determined by staff to be inappropriate or impractical;
  - c) The time the physical holding or seclusion began and the time the child was released: and
  - d) A brief record of the child's behavioral and physical status.
- The school shall make reasonable efforts to notify the parent on the same day a
  restrictive procedure is used on the child, or if the school is unable to provide sameday notice, notice is sent within two days by written or electronic means or as
  otherwise indicated by the child's parent within the student's individualized education
  program.
- 3. Attached, as *Appendix A*, is this school's form used to document the use of physical holding and Seclusion. (*This form is also located electronically in the district's/school's internet version of due process documents,* "SpEd Forms". <u>Team members will be using the electronic version of this form after using a physical hold and printing it for the student's file.</u>)

#### B. Post-use debriefings

- 1. Each time physical holding is used, a post-use debriefing meeting will be conducted. This meeting will include:
  - a) the staff person who implemented or oversaw the physical holding,
  - b) team member(s) who were involved with the holding,
  - c) team member(s) responsible to observe the holding,
  - d) and one individual not involved in the incident.
  - e) The director of special education will be notified when a physical hold has been done.
- 2. This debriefing will be conducted:
  - a) within 24 hours after the incident concludes, whenever possible,
  - b) but no more than 2 school days after the incident.
- 3. The post-use debriefing will review the following requirements to ensure the physical holding was used appropriately:
  - a) Whether the physical holding was used in an emergency.
  - b) Whether the physical holding was the least intrusive intervention that effectively responds to the emergency.
  - c) Whether the physical holding ended when the threat of harm ended and the staff determined that the child could safely return to the classroom or activity.
  - d) Whether the staff directly observed the child while physical holding was being used.
  - e) Whether the documentation was completed correctly.
  - f) Whether the parents were correctly notified.
  - g) Whether an IEP team meeting needs to be scheduled.
  - h) Whether the appropriate staff used physical holding.
  - i) Whether the staff that used physical holding was appropriately trained.

- j) If the post-use debriefing reveals that the use of physical holding was not used appropriately, the team will determine if corrective action is needed, and if so, what it will include.
- 4. Attached, as **Appendix B**, is this district's/school's form used to document the *Staff Debriefing Meeting*. (This form is also located electronically in the district's/school's internet version of due process documents, "SpEd Forms". <u>Team members will be using the electronic version of this form after using a physical hold and printing it for the student's file.)</u>

#### C. Individualized Education Program Team Meetings

- 1. When: The district must hold a meeting of the individualized education program team,
  - a) within ten calendar days after district staff use restrictive procedures on two separate school days within 30 calendar days or
  - b) a pattern of use emerges and the child's individualized education program or
  - c) the behavior intervention plan does not provide for using restrictive procedures in an emergency; or
  - d) at the request of a parent or the district after restrictive procedures are used.
- 2. What: The district must hold a meeting of the individualized education program team,
  - a) conduct or review a functional behavioral analysis,
  - b) review data,
  - c) consider developing additional or revised positive behavioral interventions and supports,
  - d) consider actions to reduce the use of restrictive procedures,
  - e) and modify the individualized education program or behavior intervention plan as appropriate.
  - must review any known medical or psychological limitations, including any medical information the parent provides voluntarily, that contraindicate the use of a restrictive procedure,
    - i. consider whether to prohibit that restrictive procedure,
    - ii. and document any prohibition in the individualized education program or behavior intervention plan.
  - g) If the individualized education program team determines that existing interventions and supports are ineffective in reducing the use of restrictive procedures **OR** the district uses restrictive procedures on a child on ten or more school days during the same school year, the team, as appropriate, either
    - i. must consult with other professionals working with the child;
    - ii. consult with experts in behavior analysis, mental health, communication, or autism;
    - iii. consult with culturally competent professionals;
    - iv. review existing evaluations, resources, and successful strategies; or
    - v. consider whether to reevaluate the child.
  - h) The district must review use of restrictive procedures at a child's annual individualized education program meeting when the child's individualized education program provides for using restrictive procedures in an emergency.
  - i) The individualized education program or behavior intervention plan shall indicate how the parent wants to be notified when a restrictive procedure is used.

- 3. **Removal by a Peace Officer:** In addition, if a pupil who has an individualized education program is restrained or removed from a classroom, school building, or school grounds by a peace officer at the request of a school administrator or a school staff person during the school day twice in a 30-day period, the pupil's individualized education program team must meet to determine if the pupil's individualized education program is adequate or if additional evaluation is needed. (*MN Statute 121A.67 Subd. 2*)
- D. Oversight committee for the Wabasha-Kellogg School District
  - 4. This school's oversight committee consists of the following individuals:
    - a) A general education administrator: Stacy Schultz
    - b) A special education administrator: Amy York
    - c) A mental health professional, school psychologist, or school social worker: **Wendy Draves, Danni Bruns**
    - d) An expert in positive behavior strategies: Sarah Danzinger, Cindy Taplin-Mason
    - e) Other team members, as appropriate
  - 5. The oversight committee meets: October 11, 2019, December 13, 2019, March 6, 2020, and May 8, 2020.
    - a) quarterly (whether or not restrictive procedures have been used) AND
    - may be called at other times, if necessary, to address excessive or inappropriate use of restrictive procedures and determine and recommend training needs or other corrective action.
  - 6. The oversight committee will review the following:
    - a) The School Restrictive Procedures Plan, to ensure procedures are being followed.
    - b) Review the Administrative Quick Report generated in *SpEd Forms* that identifies all restrictive procedures used in the school/district during that school year. The committee will ensure that the data is accurate and complete, and report it as such to the director of special education by the end of the school year.
    - c) It is the responsibility of the director of special education to report this data to the Minnesota Department of Education each year through the secure compliance website. This report is filed, even if no procedures were used.
    - d) the use of restrictive procedures based on patterns or problems indicated by similarities in the time of day, day of the week, duration of the use of a procedure, the individuals involved, or other factors associated with the use of restrictive procedures;
    - e) the number of times a restrictive procedure is used schoolwide and for individual children;
    - f) the number and types of injuries, if any, resulting from the use of restrictive procedures
    - g) whether restrictive procedures are used in nonemergency situations;
    - h) the need for additional staff training;
    - i) and proposed actions to minimize the use of restrictive procedures.
  - D. The committee will include a written description and documentation of the training staff completed.
  - E. Attached, as **Appendix C**, is a copy of the template used to document the Oversight Committee's meeting. (The elements of this form will be used as an agenda for each meeting and a copy of it and the meeting outcomes will be kept in the district's Restrictive Procedures file for 5 years.)

#### III. This school will receive training

- A. **Personnel development activities** will be provided to district staff and contracted personnel who have routine contact with students and who may use restrictive procedures in the following areas:
  - 1. Positive behavioral interventions;
  - 2. Communicative intent of behaviors;
  - 3. Relationship building;
  - 4. Alternatives to restrictive procedures, including techniques to identify events and environmental factors that may escalate behavior;
  - 5. De-escalation methods;
  - 6. Standards for using restrictive procedures only in an emergency;
  - 7. Obtaining emergency medical assistance;
  - 8. Physiological and psychological impact of physical holding and seclusion;
  - 9. Monitoring and responding to a child's physical signs of distress when physical holding is being used;
  - 10. Recognizing the symptoms of and interventions that may cause positional asphyxia when physical holding is used;
  - 11. district policies and procedures for timely reporting and documenting each incident involving use of a restricted procedure; and
  - 12. schoolwide programs on positive behavior strategies.

#### B. The training will be conducted

- 1. at least every other year for all team members.
- via Hiawatha Valley Education District's (HVED's) CPI's Nonviolent Crisis Intervention® trained instructors.
- 3. via delivery of CPI's course materials and additional materials providing overview and emphasis of the 12 strands listed in *III.A.*
- 4. In addition, all participants will be required to review the district's/school's Restrictive Procedures Plan.
- C. When trained, **only the following employee job classifications** are authorized and certified to use restrictive procedures:
  - 1. Licensed special education teacher
  - 2. School social worker
  - 3. School psychologist
  - 4. Behavior analyst certified by the National Behavior Analyst Certification Board
  - 5. A person with a master's degree in behavior analysis
  - 6. Other licensed education professional
  - 7. Highly qualified education paraprofessional
  - 8. Mental health professional
- D. Verification of all training will be kept on file at Hiawatha Valley Education District for a minimum of 5 years. CPI cards for W-K staff will be retained in the District Office. The following members were trained by Bobbi Waters, Kat Freihammer, and/or Karen Polyard.

Start Date	End Date	<b>Expiration Date</b>	Hours	First Name	Last Name
08/15/2018	08/16/2018	06/01/2020	12	Beth	Scrimshaw
08/30/2018	08/30/2018	06/01/2020	4	Sarah	Danzinger
01/17/2019	01/17/2019	06/01/2020	4	Helen	Lange
03/15/2019	03/15/2019	06/30/2020	4	Amanda	Birkeneder
03/15/2019	03/15/2019	06/30/2020	4	Kacey	Bjorklund
03/15/2019	03/15/2019	06/30/2020	4	Alex	Borgschatz
03/15/2019	03/15/2019	06/30/2020	4	Rayne	Carrels
03/15/2019	03/15/2019	06/30/2020	4	Karli	Danckwart
03/15/2019	03/15/2019	06/30/2020	4	Wendy	Draves
03/15/2019	03/15/2019	06/30/2020	4	Lori	Dunagan
03/15/2019	03/15/2019	06/30/2020	4	Jim	Freihammer
03/15/2019	03/15/2019	06/30/2020	4	Nicole	Garbers
03/15/2019	03/15/2019	06/30/2020	4	Lisa	Gillespie
03/15/2019	03/15/2019	06/30/2020	4	Michelle	Gosse
03/15/2019	03/15/2019	06/30/2020	4	Tim	Klingbeil
03/15/2019	03/15/2019	06/30/2020	4	Heather	Kosik
03/15/2019	03/15/2019	06/30/2020	4	Cindy	Mason
03/15/2019	03/15/2019	06/30/2020	4	Kylie	Meurer
03/15/2019	03/15/2019	06/30/2020	4	Peggy	Seifert
03/15/2019	03/15/2019	06/30/2020	4	Sarah	Smith
03/15/2019	03/15/2019	06/30/2020	4	Cherie	Speedling
03/15/2019	03/15/2019	06/30/2020	4	Jon	Stern
03/15/2019	03/15/2019	06/30/2020	4	Tracy	Townsend

#### IV. This school is committed to using positive behavioral interventions and supports.

- A. **Positive behavioral interventions** and supports means interventions and strategies to improve the school environment and teach children the skills to behave appropriately.
- B. The school boards and staff employed by HVED schools believe that all **students can experience success**. We believe that behavior that is rewarded is more likely to be repeated. We believe that encouragement and positive reinforcement are effective techniques for changing behavior. Our goal is to model and shape prosocial behavior for the students under our care, using positive approaches that focus on skill acquisition.
- C. **Promotion of the use of positive approaches:** The school promotes the use of positive interventions and strategies. The use of positive approaches and procedures, such as: praise and encouragement, planned ignoring, contracts, monitoring sheets, modeling of appropriate behavior, and proximity control are implemented as appropriate. In addition to individualized approaches to positive intervention, the school's school-wide plan includes:
  - 1. Point sheets with rewards
  - 2. Teaching students expected behaviors during the school day
  - 3. Positive praise
  - 4. Social Skills trainings
  - 5. Mental Health Therapy

- 6. Project based learning
- 7. Encouragement
- 8. Task analysis and breaking apart larger tasks
- 9. Positive phone calls home
- 10. Check in/Check out
- 11. Sensory needs met

#### V. This school will never use the following prohibited procedures on a child:

- Engaging in conduct prohibited under section 121A.58 (corporal punishment);
- B. Requiring a child to assume and maintain a specified physical position, activity, or posture that induces physical pain;
- C. Totally or partially restricting a child's senses as punishment;
- D. Presenting an intense sound, light, or other sensory stimuli using smell, taste, substance, or spray as punishment;
- E. Denying or restricting a child's access to equipment and devices such as walkers, wheelchairs, hearing aids, and communication boards that facilitate the child's functioning, except when temporarily removing the equipment or device is needed to prevent injury to the child or others or serious damage to the equipment or device, in which case the equipment or device shall be returned to the child as soon as possible;
- F. Interacting with a child in a manner that constitutes sexual abuse, neglect, or physical abuse under section 626.556 (reporting of maltreatment of minors);
- G. Withholding regularly scheduled meals or water;
- H. Denying access to bathroom facilities; and
- I. physical holding that restricts or impairs a child's ability to breathe, restricts or impairs a child's ability to communicate distress, places pressure or weight on a child's head, throat, neck, chest, lungs, sternum, diaphragm, back, or abdomen, or results in straddling a child's torso.


#### References:

Minnesota Department of Education's "Sample Restrictive Procedures Plan with Legal Citations and Suggestions" (April 2012); MN Statutes: §125A.0941 and §125A.0942

## Appendix A: Use of Restrictive Procedures Physical Holding

*	1	Use of Restrictive Proceed	lures: Physical Holding
Student: Fred Sample	ID: 055555555	55555	Date: MMDC/YY
School: Gender: ***	Grade: Primary Disability:	<del></del> .	DOB
Directions: The staff person wh hold is utilized. Staff involved:	o implemented or oversaw a physic	cal hold must complete this t	orm each time a physical
Person completing this form:		Position:	Phone:
t enoughous gas mans		2.000000	3.500
	EMERGENO	LI	
Was physical holding used to p	notect student or others from physi	ical injury?	□ Yes □ No
Was physical holding used to p  Description of the emergency  Description of the incident that	situation:	ical injury?	□ Yes □ No
Description of the emergency	situation:	cal injury/	□ Yes □ No
Description of the emergency  Description of the incident that	situation: led to physical holding:	LDING	
Description of the emergency  Description of the incident that  Description of the physical hole	situation:  led to physical holding:  PHYSICAL HO	LDING tudent's behavioral and phy	
Description of the emergency  Description of the incident that  Description of the physical hok  Was physical holding the least	situation:  led to physical holding:  PHYSICAL HOLDIng and a brief description of the st	LDING tudent's behavioral and phy respond to the emergency?	sical status: □ Yes □ No
Description of the emergency  Description of the incident that  Description of the physical hold  Was physical holding the least:  Explain why a less restrictive in  Did the physical holding end wi	situation:  led to physical holding:  PHYSICAL HOL  ting and a brief description of the st  intrusive intervention to effectively re-	LDING tudent's behavioral and phy espond to the emergency? I to be inappropriate or impo	sical status: □ Yes □ No
Description of the emergency  Description of the incident that  Description of the physical hold  Was physical holding the least:  Explain why a less restrictive in  Did the physical holding end widetermined that the student coor	situation:  led to physical holding:  PHYSICAL HOLDIng and a brief description of the st intrusive intervention to effectively retervention failed or was determined then the threat of harm ended and still safely return to the classroom or	LDING tudent's behavioral and phy espond to the emergency? I to be inappropriate or impo	sical status:
Description of the emergency  Description of the incident that  Description of the physical hold  Was physical holding the least:  Explain why a less restrictive in  Did the physical holding end widetermined that the student coul	situation:  led to physical holding:  PHYSICAL HOLDIng and a brief description of the st intrusive intervention to effectively retervention failed or was determined then the threat of harm ended and still safely return to the classroom or	LDING tudent's behavioral and phy espond to the emergency? I to be inappropriate or impo	sical status:  □ Yes □ No ractical: □ Yes □ No
Description of the emergency  Description of the incident that  Description of the physical hold  Was physical holding the least:  Explain why a less restrictive in  Did the physical holding end wide  determined that the student coel  Explain:  Did staff directly observe the circumstance.	situation:  led to physical holding:  PHYSICAL HOLDIng and a brief description of the st intrusive intervention to effectively re- tervention failed or was determined then the threat of harm ended and st ald safely return to the classroom or hild during the physical hold:	LDING tudent's behavioral and phy espond to the emergency? I to be inappropriate or impo	sical status:  □ Yes □ No ractical: □ Yes □ No
Description of the emergency  Description of the incident that  Description of the physical hold  Was physical holding the least:  Explain why a less restrictive in  Did the physical holding end widetermined that the student coul  Explain:  Did staff directly observe the cil  Explain:	situation:  led to physical holding:  PHYSICAL HOLDIng and a brief description of the st intrusive intervention to effectively re- tervention failed or was determined then the threat of harm ended and st ald safely return to the classroom or hild during the physical hold:	LDING tudent's behavioral and phy espond to the emergency? I to be inappropriate or important aff r activity:	sical status:  Yes   No ractical:  Yes   No

	□ Yes □ No		Da.	DESCENSION	FICATION				
	Parents must be not within two (2) days		ay a restrictive p	procedure is	used. A written or electron	ic notice m	ist be sen	t bom	
	Parent: Date:	Time:							
	Notified by:								
	How notified:								
						Go to	_		
	of Restrictive Prod		lusion				Student:		
Login	session expires: 1	19 minutes.				Exclusive	Rights:	59 m	inutes
	s the student <u>Hispanic</u> Yes No		Part B. \	What is the s  America  Black or  Native F	11 : Autism Spectrum [ student's race? (Choose or Indian or Alaska Native r African American - Hawaiian or Other Pacific Is ents must be monitored by	ne or more)	Asian White	es Fr	d the
vention iefing	on when the threat of meeting must be he	f harm ends and	l staff determir	ne that the s	student can safely return t fing Meeting form comp	o the class:			
f invo	Name of Team M	lember			I				_
ler	First/Last				Title		1		Remo
				]			1	<b>.</b>	
								<u>.</u>	
our tear	m member list can be	edited in "Your	Setup". [ <u>Print t</u>	eam membe	er list.]				
					er list.]		Phone:	<b>2</b>	
	of person completin		Positi	ion:	er list.]		Phone:	<b>2</b>	22
ature	of person completin		Positi	ion: ial Educati	ion Coordinator			<b>2</b>	22
nature y York	of person completin	g this form: 🍣	Positi Spec	ion: ial Educati MERGEN	ion Coordinator			67-10	
y York	of person completin	g this form: 🥾	Positi Spec	ion: ial Educati MERGEN	ion Coordinator		507-76	67-10	
y York	of person completing	g this form: 🥾	Positi Spec	ion: ial Educati MERGEN	ion Coordinator		507-76	67-10	
y York	of person completing	g this form: 🥾	Positi Spec	ion: ial Educati MERGEN	ion Coordinator		507-76	67-10	
nature y York s seclu scriptio	of person completing  sion used to protect on of the emergency	g this form:  student or othe situation:	Positi	ion: ial Educati MERGEN	ion Coordinator		507-76	67-10	
nature y York s seclu scriptio	of person completing	g this form:  student or othe situation:	Positi	ion: ial Educati MERGEN	ion Coordinator		507-76	67-10	
nature y York s seclu scriptio	of person completing  sion used to protect on of the emergency	g this form:  student or othe situation:	Positi	ion: ial Educati MERGEN	ion Coordinator		507-76	67-10	
y York y York s seclu scriptic	of person completing  sion used to protect on of the emergency	g this form:  student or othe situation:	Positi	ion: ial Educati MERGEN	ion Coordinator		507-76	67-10	
y York s seclus criptic	of person completing	g this form:  student or othe situation:	Positi Spec E rs from physic	ion: ial Educati MERGEN	ion Coordinator		507-76	67-10	
y York s seclus criptic	of person completing  sion used to protect on of the emergency	g this form:  student or othe situation:	Positi Spec E rs from physic	ion: cial Educati MERGEN al injury:	ion Coordinator		507-76	67-10	
y York s seclus criptic	of person completing	g this form:  student or othe situation:	Positi Spec E rs from physic	ion: cial Educati MERGEN al injury:	ion Coordinator		507-76	67-10	
y York s seclus criptic	of person completing	g this form:  student or othe situation:	Positi Spec E rs from physic	ion: cial Educati MERGEN al injury:	ion Coordinator		507-76	67-10	
s seclus criptic	of person completing	g this form:  student or othe situation: at led to seclusi	Positi Spec  Ers from physic  on:	ion: idal Educati MERGEN al injury:	ion Coordinator		● Yes	67-10	O
y York s seclus ceriptic ceriptic cation of	of person completing sion used to protect on of the emergency on of the incident that of seclusion room:	student or othe situation:	Positi Spec  E rs from physic  on:	ion: idal Educati MERGEN al injury:  SECLUSIO  lusion: lean:	ON		© Yes	87-10 N	No No No
the room was	of person completing  sion used to protect on of the emergency  on of the incident that  of seclusion room:	student or othe situation:  at led to seclusion at led to seclusio	Positi Spec Ers from physic on:	ion: cial Educati MERGEN al injury:  SECLUSIO  Lusion: lean: re themselv	ON  es or others?		© Yes	37-10 N	No No No



## Appendix B: Staff Debriefing

<b>X</b>	STAFF DEBRIEFING MEE	STAFF DEBRIEFING MEETING			
Date of Incident: Student: Fred Sample IC	Date of Debriefing:		-		
School:	Grade: "				
Student was on an IEP:	Was IEP implemented correctly?	⊕ Yes	⊕ No		
Was a BIP in place: S Yes No	Was BIP implemented correctly?	© yes	O No		
Identify the antecedents, triggers and proactive interventions Briefly describe the impact of these less restrictive intervent What behavior necessitated the use of a restrictive procedure Describe student and staff behavior during the incident: What actions helped or didn't help? Describe the procedure used to return the student to his/her a	tions:				
Was the hold/seclusion the response to an emergency situation	ion?	⊕ Yes	$\Theta_{No}$		
Was the hold/seclusion the least restrictive intervention?		⊕ Yes	$\Theta$ No		
Did the hold/seclusion end when the threat of harm ended?		⊕ Yes	© No		
Is corrective action needed?		⊕ Yes	⊕ No		
Is the behavior likely to reoccur?	⊕ Yes	⊖ No			
Follow-up action to prevent the need for future use of restric	ctive procedures:				
Behavior History:					
Other restrictive procedures used in the last 4 weeks:		⊕ Yes	⊕ No		
Restrictive procedures used twice in a month:		① Yes	$\Theta$ No		
Does the team see this as a pattern?		⊕ Yes	$\Theta$ No		
Does the child's IEP team need to meet?		⊖ Yes	⊕ No		
Staff Attending Debriefing (should include one individual	not involved in the incident)				

### Appendix C: Quarterly Oversight Committee Agenda Template

### **Quarterly Restrictive Procedures Oversight Committee**

Meeting Agenda and Notes

School Name:			<del>-</del>
Date Time:			Location
	Pres	ent	
Members Required	Yes	No	Name
General Education Administrator			
Special Education Administrator			
Mental Health provider, school psych, or social			
worker			
Expert in positive behavior strategies			
Others, as appropriate			

Area of discussion	Items to discuss	Notes
Restrictive Procedures Plan	Review and update plan as needed	
	Review procedures to inform all staff of plan components	
Review use of restrictive procedures this quarter	Compare SpEd forms report to actual use  Number of Restrictive Procedures used school wide  Number used on specific students  Review documents from use of restrictive procedures.  Completed on time Parents informed Debriefing conducted Recommended changes to staff practices in debriefing	

	What, if any, patterns or problems do you notice on time, location, student, staff involved in restrictive procedures?	
	Were staff or student injured? Corrections needed?	
	Was the event an emergency? How do you know this?	
	If no restrictive procedures used, what strategies are working?	
Training	Training plans for all staff?	
	Training plans for crisis team?	
	Are enough staff trained?	
Other		