	KingCo Athle	etic Director Board: M	arch 4, 2025	
	11:00	am - 1:30pm - ECS 200	- BSD	
Guest in attendance				
Name	School District			
Johnathon	Highline		Lori's intern	
Review from last meeting				
Topic		Person responsible/Group	Notes	
поріс		<u>гегзоп тезропзыне/огоир</u>	10069	
Reports		D	Note:	And an Antonia
Topic KingCo Executive Board	FYI	Person responsible/Group  J Appelgate	No report	Action taken
Treasurer's Report	FYI	Colleen	No report	
SeaKing Executive Board Report	FYI	J Thurston	Meet next week - Pat has sent out the email for spring allocations. Please make sure you get that completed before March	
AD Exec Board Report	FYI	BJ Kuntz	12th. No report	
WIAA Report	FYI	J Lowell	No report	
WSSAAA Report	FYI	J Appelgate	Register for the conference	
2A Classification Report	FYI			
3A Classification Report	FYI			
4A Classification Report	FYI FYI	M Stuart	No ejections	
Ejections Violations	FYI	L Gatter	No violations	
Special Items				
Topic		Person responsible/Group	Notes .	Action taken
Letter from the president to coaches	Action	B. Kuntz	Will not send out the letter	
			Who has a JV team -  Vec - Rothell Factlake Skyline Issagush Juanita Indemoor Sammamich Highline Mount Si (x2) Woodinville	
JV softball rundown		J. Thurston	Yes - Bothell, Eastlake, Skyline, Issaquah, Juanita, Inglemoor, Sammamish, Highline, Mount SI (x2), Woodinville, Maybe - LW, Hazen, Newport, Tyee, Interlake, Evergreen, Foster, Mercer Island	
			No - NC, Lindbergh, Renton, Cedarcrest, Bellevue,	
			Going to break out into groups and answer some questions when it comes to the scheduling from this year.  Talked with assignor - moving away from the Friday quads was really hard. Us moving our games from Friday to Saturday	
			means other people got moved off of Saturday, and they also put officials out for AAU and middle schools as well.  Problems with sub varsity games during the week days when times got moved.	
			He is okay with the type of design where on Fridays, we have two games going on in two gyms with a JV game going on	
			when a varsity game is happening. He was good with C-team games added before the JV and Varsity games.  Saturday games - having a bunch of games on Saturday is fine with him.	
			Going to set up a time in April to talk with him in person. If you have anything to add please send to commissioners.	
			Split into groups -	
Basketball Discussion		W. Newton / L. Blaine	Group 1 - looked into tranpsortation, and feel like seeding needs to be looked at as well. Group 2 - overall some points are valid ish when it comes to student attendance, competitiveness boys basketball was	
			good, not as competitive in girls basketball, maintaining the human element in seeding committee.	
			Group 3 - Most supervision, decrease in attendnace for girls when playing at different sites, transportation was up a little bit for some, having the girls playing after the boys was hard. Saturday supervision was a challenge, more head to head match	
			ups with 4A. The scheduling committee did not intentially make it to where girls were home and boys were away. If this happened at a school it was based on the AD.	
			group 4 - was positive for some then for others. More traditional quads were wanted more, all league was brought up -	
			increased gate was not at all schools, but some there was. Transportation was more at some - increased supervision at some schools because they require to go to the girls games as well. Changing of the fans, utilization of locker rooms. Broad	
			variety of teams.	
Winter sports All-League to Kealey		B. Kuntz / K. Stanich	Please send your all-league to Kealey so she can post it to the site.	
Spring Coaches Meeting Thursday				
Committee Reports				
<u>Topic</u>		Person responsible/Group		Action taken
•		Person responsible/Group  M Jefferson	No report	
<u>Topic</u>				MTA second reading football schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd -
<u>Topic</u>			No report	MTA second reading football schedule for
<u>Topic</u>			No report  Football - second reading, flipped from last year, no change in tiers for 2025-26.  Slowpitch - second reading, flipped from last year for home and away. No change  Girls Soccer - did a 1st reading, there was support for the crown/crest model. Think we should go foward with a second	MTA second reading football schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 24-1-0 APPROVED  MTA Second reading slowpitch schedule
<u>Topic</u>			No report Football - second reading, flipped from last year, no change in tiers for 2025-26. Slowpitch - second reading, flipped from last year for home and away. No change	MTA second reading football schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 24-1-0 APPROVED
<u>Topic</u>			No report  Football - second reading, flipped from last year, no change in tiers for 2025-26.  Slowpitch - second reading, flipped from last year for home and away. No change  Girls Soccer - did a 1st reading, there was support for the crown/crest model. Think we should go foward with a second model, since there as not much want of change in Soccer.  Boys tennis - 1st reading - scheduling committee came up with 2 options.	MTA second reading football schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 24-1-0 APPROVED MTA Second reading slowpitch schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED
<u>Topic</u>			No report  Football - second reading, flipped from last year, no change in tiers for 2025-26.  Slowpitch - second reading, flipped from last year for home and away. No change  Girls Soccer - did a 1st reading, there was support for the crown/crest model. Think we should go foward with a second model, since there as not much want of change in Soccer.  Boys tennis - 1st reading - scheduling committee came up with 2 options.  Option 1 - balanced model - 2 tiers - 12 teams in each division - without Cedarcrest - advantages, most 4As, help with H2H, post season seeding. Disadvantages - less insulation for teams in the lower tiers, three or four tiers allow lower tiered teams	MTA second reading football schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 24-1-0 APPROVED MTA Second reading slowpitch schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED MTA second reading girls soccer model as crown/crest for 2025-26 season - 2nd -
<u>Topic</u>			No report  Football - second reading, flipped from last year, no change in tiers for 2025-26.  Slowpitch - second reading, flipped from last year for home and away. No change  Girls Soccer - did a 1st reading, there was support for the crown/crest model. Think we should go foward with a second model, since there as not much want of change in Soccer.  Boys tennis - 1st reading - scheduling committee came up with 2 options.  Option 1 - balanced model - 2 tiers - 12 teams in each division - without Cedarcrest - advantages, most 4As, help with H2H, post season seeding. Disadvantages - less insulation for teams in the lower tiers, three or four tiers allow lower tiered teams to play more even match ups.  Option 2 - Crown-crest model (3 tiers) with 12 in the top, and 67 teams in the bottom two division. Advantages - Isulates the	MTA second reading football schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 24-1-0 APPROVED MTA Second reading slowpitch schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED MTA second reading siris soccer model as crown/crest for 2025-26 season - 2nd - Discussion - 22-1-2 APPROVED
<u>Topic</u>			No report  Football - second reading, flipped from last year, no change in tiers for 2025-26.  Slowpitch - second reading, flipped from last year for home and away. No change  Girls Soccer - did a 1st reading, there was support for the crown/crest model. Think we should go foward with a second model, since there as not much want of change in Soccer.  Boys tennis - 1st reading - scheduling committee came up with 2 options.  Option 1 - balanced model - 2 tiers - 12 teams in each division - without Cedarcrest - advantages, most 4As, help with H2H, post season seeding, Disadvantages - less insulation for teams in the lower tiers, three or four tiers allow lower tiered teams to play more even match ups.  Option 2 - Crown-crest model (3 tiers) with 12 in the top, and 6/7 teams in the bottom two division. Advantages - Isualests the teams in the lake more effectively. Like the balanced model provides 4A teams in classification matchups for easier	MTA second reading football schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 24-1-0 APPROVED  MTA Second reading slowplich schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA second reading girls soccer model as crown/crest for 2025-26 season - 2nd - Discussion - 22-1-2 APPROVED  MTA in first reading of the crown/crest
<u>Topic</u>			No report  Football - second reading, flipped from last year, no change in tiers for 2025-26.  Slowpitch - second reading, flipped from last year for home and away. No change  Girls Soccer - did a 1st reading, there was support for the crown/crest model. Think we should go foward with a second model, since there as not much want of change in Soccer.  Boys tennis - 1st reading - scheduling committee came up with 2 options.  Option 1 - balanced model - 2 tiers - 12 teams in each division - without Cedarcrest - advantages, most 4As, help with H2H, post season seeding. Disadvantages - less insulation for teams in the lower tiers, three or four tiers allow lower tiered teams to play more even match ups.  Option 2 - Crown-crest model (3 tiers) with 12 in the top, and 67 teams in the bottom two division. Advantages - Isulates the	MTA second reading football schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 24-1-0 APPROVED MTA Second reading slowpitch schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED MTA second reading siris soccer model as crown/crest for 2025-26 season - 2nd - Discussion - 22-1-2 APPROVED
Topic Sportsmanship		M Jefferson	No report  Football - second reading, flipped from last year, no change in tiers for 2025-26.  Slowpitch - second reading, flipped from last year for home and away. No change  Girls Soccer - did a 1st reading, there was support for the crown/crest model. Think we should go foward with a second model, since there as not much want of change in Soccer.  Boys tennis - 1st reading - scheduling committee came up with 2 options.  Option 1 - balanced model - 2 liers - 12 teams in each division - without Cedarcrest - advantages, most 4As, help with H2H, post season seeding, Disadvantages - less insulation for teams in the lower tiers, three or four tiers allow lower tiered teams to play more even match ups.  Option 2 - Crown-crest model (3 tiers) with 12 in the top, and 6/7 teams in the bottom two division. Advantages - Isulates the teams in the late more effectively. Like the balanced model provides 4A teams in classification matchups for easier postseason seeding. Disadvantages - less classification matches, will require some crossovers to help post season seedings.  Volleyball - 1st reading - 3 options	MTA second reading football schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 24-1-0 APPROVED MTA Second reading slowpitch schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED MTA second reading girls soccer model as crown/crest for 2025-26 season - 2nd - Discussion - 22-1-2 APPROVED MTA in first reading of the crown/crest model for Boys Tennis (option 2) - 2nd - 2015-2015-2015-2015-2015-2015-2015-2015-
Topic Sportsmanship		M Jefferson	No report  Football - second reading, flipped from last year, no change in tiers for 2025-26.  Slowpitch - second reading, flipped from last year for home and away. No change  Girls Soccer - did a 1st reading, there was support for the crown/crest model. Think we should go foward with a second model, since there as not much want of change in Soccer.  Boys tennis - 1st reading - scheduling committee came up with 2 options.  Option 1 - balanced model - 2 tiers - 12 teams in each division - without Cedarcrest - advantages, most 4As, help with H2H, post season seeding. Disadvantages - less insulation for teams in the lower tiers, three or four tiers allow lower tiered teams to play more even match ups.  Option 2 - Crown-crest model (3 tiers) with 12 in the top, and 6/7 teams in the bottom two division. Advantages - Isulates the teams in the lake more effectively. Like the balanced model provides 4A teams in classification matchups for easier postseason seeding. Disadvantages - less classification matches, will require some crossovers to help post season seedings.  Volleyball - 1st reading - 3 options  Option 1 - Crown/crest model - could mean that you have to find more non-leagues - everyone in crown/crest would play	MTA second reading football schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 24-1-0 APPROVED  MTA Second reading slowpitch schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA second reading girls soccer model as crown/crest for 2025-26 season - 2nd - Discussion - 22-1-2 APPROVED  MTA in first reading of the crown/crest model for Boys Tennis (option 2) - 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading both options of Crown/Crest and Bell Curve model in
Topic Sportsmanship		M Jefferson	No report  Football - second reading, flipped from last year, no change in tiers for 2025-26.  Slowpitch - second reading, flipped from last year for home and away. No change  Girls Soccer - did a 1st reading, there was support for the crown/crest model. Think we should go foward with a second model, since there as not much want of change in Soccer.  Boys tennis - 1st reading - scheduling committee came up with 2 options.  Option 1 - balanced model - 2 tiers - 12 teams in each division - without Cedarcrest - advantages, most 4As, help with H2H, post season seeding. Disadvantages - less insulation for teams in the lower tiers, three or four tiers allow lower tiered teams to play more even match ups.  Option 2 - Crown-crest model (3 tiers) with 12 in the top, and 6/7 teams in the bottom two division. Advantages - Isulates the teams in the lake more effectively. Like the balanced model provides 4A teams in classification matchups for easier postseason seeding. Disadvantages - less classification matches, will require some crossovers to help post season seedings.  Volleyball - 1st reading - 3 options  Option 1 - Crown/crest model - could mean that you have to find more non-leagues - everyone in crown/crest would play vince.  Option 2 - Bellecurve model - 7, 11, 7 - Vwolld almost combine the crest and mountain - the two 7 tiers would play home and	MTA second reading football schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 24-1-0 APPROVED  MTA Second reading slowpitch schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA second reading girls soccer model as CONDER OF SECOND READ - 2015-26 Season - 2nd - Discussion - 22-1-2 APPROVED  MTA in first reading of the crown/crest model for Boys Tennis (option 2) - 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading both options of Crown/Crest and Bell Curve model in order to have the teams placed before the 2nd reading - 23-1-1 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1
Topic Sportsmanship		M Jefferson	No report Football - second reading, flipped from last year, no change in tiers for 2025-26. Slowpitch - second reading, flipped from last year for home and away. No change Girls Soccer - did a 1st reading, there was support for the crown/crest model. Think we should go foward with a second model, since there as not much want of change in Soccer.  Boys tennis - 1st reading, -scheduling committee came up with 2 options. Option 1 - balanced model - 2 tiers - 12 teams in each division - without Cedarcrest - advantages, most 4As, help with H2H, post season seeding, Disadvantages - less insulation for teams in the lower tiers, three or four tiers allow lower tiered teams to play more even match ups. Option 2 - Crown-crest model (3 tiers) with 12 in the top, and 6/7 teams in the bottom two division. Advantages - Isulates the teams in the late more effectively. Like the balanced model provides 4A teams in classification matchups for easier postseason seeding. Disadvantages - less classification matches, will require some crossovers to help post season seedings.  Volleyball - 1st reading - 3 options Option 1 - Crown/crest model - could mean that you have to find more non-leagues - everyone in crown/crest would play once, the other 2 division would play ytice.	MTA second reading football schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 24-1-0 APPROVED  MTA Second reading slowplich schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA second reading girls soccer model as crown/crest for 2025-26 season - 2nd - Discussion - 22-1-2 APPROVED  MTA in first reading of the crown/crest model for Boys Tennis (option 2) - 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading both options of Crown/Crest and Bell Curve model in order to have the teams placed before the
Topic Sportsmanship		M Jefferson	No report Football - second reading, flipped from last year, no change in tiers for 2025-26. Slowpitch - second reading, flipped from last year for home and away. No change Girls Soccer - did a 1st reading, there was support for the crown/crest model. Think we should go foward with a second model, since there as not much want of change in Soccer.  Boys tennis - 1st reading - scheduling committee came up with 2 options. Option 1 - balanced model - 2 tiers - 12 teams in each division - without Cedarcrest - advantages, most 4As, help with H2H, post season seeding. Disadvantages - less insulation for teams in the lower tiers, three or four tiers allow lower tiered teams to play more even match ups. Option 2 - Crown-crest model (3 tiers) with 12 in the top, and 6/7 teams in the bottom two division. Advantages - Isulates the teams in the lake more effectively. Like the balanced model provides 4A teams in classification matchups for easier postseason seeding. Disadvantages - less classification matches, will require some crossovers to help post season seedings.  Volleyball - 1st reading - 3 options Option 1 - Crown/crest model - could mean that you have to find more non-leagues - everyone in crown/crest would play once, the other 2 division would play twice. Option 2 - Bellecurve model - 7, 11, 7 - Would almost combine the crest and mountain - the two 7 tiers would play home and away - the 11 group would be playing eachother once - fewer classification match ups - home and home match ups may be an issue - 2 year home and home match ups may be an issue - 2 year home and home agreements would be able to happen Option 3 - stay the same as it was in the 2024-25 school year. Advantages - stays the same for home games and away	MTA second reading football schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 24-1-0 APPROVED  MTA Second reading slowpitch schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA second reading glits soccer model as crown/crest for 2025-26 season - 2nd - Discussion - 22-1-2 APPROVED  MTA in first reading of the crown/crest model for Boys Tennis (option 2) - 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading both options of Crown/Crest and Bell Curve model in order to have the teams placed before the 2nd reading - 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading - 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1 APPROVED
Topic Sportsmanship		M Jefferson	No report Football - second reading, flipped from last year, no change in tiers for 2025-26. Slowpitch - second reading, flipped from last year for home and away. No change Girls Soccer - did a 1st reading, there was support for the crown/crest model. Think we should go foward with a second model, since there as not much want of change in Soccer.  Boys tennis - 1st reading - scheduling committee came up with 2 options. Option 1 - balanced model - 2 tiers - 12 teams in each division - without Cedarcrest - advantages, most 4As, help with H2H, post season seeding, Disadvantages - less insulation for teams in the lower tiers, three or four tiers allow lower tiered teams to play more even match ups. Option 2 - Crown-crest model (3 tiers) with 12 in the top, and 6t7 teams in the bottom two division. Advantages - Isulates the teams in the lake more effectively. Like the balanced model provides 4A teams in classification matchups for easier postseason seeding. Disadvantages - less classification matches, will require some crossovers to help post season seedings.  Volleyball - 1st reading - 3 options Option 1 - Crown/crest model - could mean that you have to find more non-leagues - everyone in crown/crest would play once, the other 2 division would play twice. Option 2 - Bellecurve model - 7, 11, 7 - Would almost combine the crest and mountain - the two 7 tiers would play home and away - the 11 group would be playing eachother once - fewer classification match ups - home and home match ups may be an issue - 2 year home and home agreements would be able to happen Option 3 - stay the same as it was in the 2024-25 school year. Advantages - stays the same for home games and away games.	MTA second reading football schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 24-1-0 APPROVED  MTA Second reading slowpitch schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA second reading slivis soccer model as crown/crest for 2025-26 season - 2nd - Discussion - 22-1-2 APPROVED  MTA in first reading of the crown/crest model for Boys Tennis (option 2) - 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading both options of Crown/Crest and Bell Curve model in order to have the teams placed before the 2nd reading - 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1 APPROVED
Topic Sportsmanship		M Jefferson	No report Football - second reading, flipped from last year, no change in tiers for 2025-26. Slowpitch - second reading, flipped from last year for home and away. No change Girls Soccer - did a 1st reading, there was support for the crown/crest model. Think we should go foward with a second model, since there as not much want of change in Soccer.  Boys tennis - 1st reading - scheduling committee came up with 2 options. Option 1 - balanced model - 2 tiers - 12 teams in each division - without Cedarcrest - advantages, most 4As, help with H2H, post season seeding. Disadvantages - less insulation for teams in the lower tiers, three or four tiers allow lower tiered teams to play more even match ups. Option 2 - Crown-crest model (3 tiers) with 12 in the top, and 6/7 teams in the bottom two division. Advantages - Isulates the teams in the lake more effectively. Like the balanced model provides 4A teams in classification matchups for easier postseason seeding. Disadvantages - less classification matches, will require some crossovers to help post season seedings.  Volleyball - 1st reading - 3 options Option 1 - Crown/crest model - could mean that you have to find more non-leagues - everyone in crown/crest would play once, the other 2 division would play twice. Option 2 - Bellecurve model - 7, 11, 7 - Would almost combine the crest and mountain - the two 7 tiers would play home and away - the 11 group would be playing eachother once - fewer classification match ups - home and home match ups may be an issue - 2 year home and home match ups may be an issue - 2 year home and home agreements would be able to happen Option 3 - stay the same as it was in the 2024-25 school year. Advantages - stays the same for home games and away	MTA second reading football schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 24-1-0 APPROVED  MTA Second reading slowpitch schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA second reading glits soccer model as crown/crest for 2025-26 season - 2nd - Discussion - 22-1-2 APPROVED  MTA in first reading of the crown/crest model for Boys Tennis (option 2) - 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading both options of Crown/Crest and Bell Curve model in order to have the teams placed before the 2nd reading - 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading for boys and girls swim and dive schedule for 2025-26 - 2nd - Discussion - 50-0-0 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading for boys and girls swim and dive schedule for 2025-26 - 2nd - Discussion - 250-0 - 0 APPROVED
Topic Sportsmanship		M Jefferson	No report Football - second reading, flipped from last year, no change in tiers for 2025-26. Slowpitch - second reading, flipped from last year for home and away. No change Girls Soccer - did a 1st reading, there was support for the crown/crest model. Think we should go foward with a second model, since there as not much want of change in Soccer.  Boys tennis - 1st reading - scheduling committee came up with 2 options. Option 1 - balanced model - 2 tiers - 12 teams in each division - without Cedarcrest - advantages, most 4As, help with H2H, post season seeding. Disadvantages - less insulation for teams in the lower tiers, three or four tiers allow lower tiered teams to play more even match ups. Option 2 - Crown-crest model (3 tiers) with 12 in the top, and 6/7 teams in the bottom two division. Advantages - Isulates the teams in the lake more effectively. Like the balanced model provides 4A teams in classification matchups for easier postseason seeding. Disadvantages - less classification matches, will require some crossovers to help post season seedings.  Volleyball - 1st reading - 3 options Option 1 - Crown/crest model - could mean that you have to find more non-leagues - everyone in crown/crest would play once, the other 2 division would play twice. Option 2 - Beliecurve model - 7, 11, 7 - Would almost combine the crest and mountain - the two 7 tiers would play home and away - the 11 group would be playing eachother once - fewer classification match ups - home and home match ups may be an issue - 2 year home and home agreements would be able to happen Option 3 - stay the same as it was in the 2024-25 school year. Advantages - stays the same for home games and away games.  Girls/Boys swim and dive - some teams moved in the girls season, a few moved in the boys season  Cross country - schedule has more in classification match ups - a meet at the end of the season with more same	MTA second reading football schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 24-1-0 APPROVED  MTA Second reading slowpitch schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA second reading slowpitch schedule as crown/crest for 2025-26 season - 2nd - Discussion - 22-1-2 APPROVED  MTA in first reading of the crown/crest model for Boys Tennis (option 2) - 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading both options of Crown/Crest and Bell Curve model in order to have the teams placed before the 2nd reading - 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading for boys and girls swim and dive schedule for 2025-26 - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading for corps country schedule of 2025-26 - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED
Topic Sportsmanship		M Jefferson	No report Football - second reading, flipped from last year, no change in tiers for 2025-26. Slowpitch - second reading, flipped from last year for home and away. No change Girls Soccer - did a 1st reading, there was support for the crown/crest model. Think we should go foward with a second model, since there as not much want of change in Soccer.  Boys tennis - 1st reading - scheduling committee came up with 2 options. Option 1 - balanced model - 2 tiers - 12 teams in each division - without Cedarcrest - advantages, most 4As, help with H2H, post season seeding, Disadvantages - less insulation for teams in the lower tiers, three or four tiers allow lower tiered teams to play more even match ups. Option 2 - Crown-crest model (3 tiers) with 12 in the top, and 6t7 teams in the bottom two division. Advantages - Isulates the teams in the lake more effectively. Like the balanced model provides 4A teams in classification matchups for easier postseason seeding. Disadvantages - less classification matches, will require some crossovers to help post season seedings.  Volleyball - 1st reading - 3 options Option 1 - Crown/crest model - could mean that you have to find more non-leagues - everyone in crown/crest would play once, the other 2 division would play twice. Option 2 - Bellecurve model - 7, 11, 7 - Would almost combine the crest and mountain - the two 7 tiers would play home and away - the 11 group would be playing eachother once - fewer classification match ups - home and home match ups may be an issue - 2 year home and home agreements would be able to happen Option 3 - stay the same as it was in the 2024-25 school year. Advantages - stays the same for home games and away games.  Girls/Boys swim and dive - some teams moved in the girls season, a few moved in the boys season	MTA second reading football schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 24-1-0 APPROVED  MTA Second reading slowpitch schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA second reading gins soccer model as crown/crest for 2025-26 season - 2nd - Discussion - 22-1-2 APPROVED  MTA in first reading of the crown/crest model for Boys Tennis (politon 2) - 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading both options of Crown/Crest and Bell Curve model in order to have the teams placed before the 2nd reading - 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading for boys and girls swim and dive schedule for 2025-26 - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading for boys and girls swim and dive schedule for 2025-26 - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading of cross country schedule of 2025-26 - 2nd - Discussion 23-0-2 APPROVED
Topic Sportsmanship		M Jefferson	No report Football - second reading, flipped from last year, no change in tiers for 2025-26. Slowpitch - second reading, flipped from last year for home and away. No change Girls Soccer - did a 1st reading, there was support for the crown/crest model. Think we should go foward with a second model, since there as not much want of change in Soccer.  Boys tennis - 1st reading - scheduling committee came up with 2 options. Option 1 - balanced model - 2 tiers - 12 teams in each division - without Cedarcrest - advantages, most 4As, help with H2H, post season seeding. Disadvantages - less insulation for teams in the lower tiers, three or four tiers allow lower tiered teams to play more even match ups. Option 2 - Crown-crest model (3 tiers) with 12 in the top, and 6/7 teams in the bottom two division. Advantages - Isulates the teams in the lake more effectively. Like the balanced model provides 4A teams in classification matchups for easier postseason seeding. Disadvantages - less classification matches, will require some crossovers to help post season seedings.  Volleyball - 1st reading - 3 options Option 1 - Crown/crest model - could mean that you have to find more non-leagues - everyone in crown/crest would play once, the other 2 division would play twice. Option 2 - Beliecurve model - 7, 11, 7 - Would almost combine the crest and mountain - the two 7 tiers would play home and away - the 11 group would be playing eachother once - fewer classification match ups - home and home match ups may be an issue - 2 year home and home agreements would be able to happen Option 3 - stay the same as it was in the 2024-25 school year. Advantages - stays the same for home games and away games.  Girls/Boys swim and dive - some teams moved in the girls season, a few moved in the boys season  Cross country - schedule has more in classification match ups - a meet at the end of the season with more same	MTA second reading football schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 24-1-0 APPROVED  MTA Second reading slowpitch schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA second reading slowpitch schedule for 2025-26, season - 2nd - Discussion - 225-0-0 APPROVED  MTA second reading girls soccer model as crown/crest for 2025-26 season - 2nd - Discussion - 22-1-2 APPROVED  MTA in first reading of the crown/crest model for Boys Tennis (option 2) - 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading both options of Crown/Crest and Bell Curve model in order to have the teams placed before the 2nd reading - 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading for boys and girls swim and dive schedule for 2025-26 - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading of cross country schedule of 2025-28 - 2nd - Discussion 23-0-2  MTA placemenet committee process for the sports represented in document - 2nd - the 2nd - the 2nd - 2nd
Topic Sportsmanship Scheduling Team Placement		M Jefferson  B Brakke	No report Football - second reading, flipped from last year, no change in tiers for 2025-26. Slowpitch - second reading, flipped from last year for home and away. No change Girls Soccer - did a 1st reading, there was support for the crown/crest model. Think we should go foward with a second model, since there as not much want of change in Soccer.  Boys tennis - 1st reading - scheduling committee came up with 2 options. Option 1 - balanced model - 2 tiers - 12 teams in each division - without Cedarcrest - advantages, most 4As, help with H2H, post season seeding, Disadvantages - less insulation for teams in the lower tiers, three or four tiers allow lower tiered teams to play more even match ups. Option 2 - Crown-crest model (3 tiers) with 12 in the top, and 6/7 teams in the bottom two division. Advantages - Isulates the teams in the lake more effectively. Like the balanced model provides 4A teams in classification matchups for easier postseason seeding. Disadvantages - less classification matches, will require some crossovers to help post season seedings. Option 1 - Streading - 3 options Option 1 - Crown/crest model - could mean that you have to find more non-leagues - everyone in crown/crest would play once, the other 2 division would play twice. Option 2 - Selfecture model - 7, 11, 7 - Would almost combine the crest and mountain - the two 7 tiers would play home and away - the 11 group would be playing eachier crose - fewer classification match ups - home and home match ups may be an issue - 2 year home and home agreements would be able to happen Option 3 - stay the same as it was in the 2024-25 school year. Advantages - stays the same for home games and away games.  Girls/Boys swim and dive - some teams moved in the girls season, a few moved in the boys season  Cross country - schedule has more in classification match ups - a meet at the end of the season with more same classifications in one meet.	MTA second reading football schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 24-1-0 APPROVED  MTA Second reading slowpitch schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA second reading slowpitch schedule for 2025-26 season - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA second reading girls soccer model as crown/crest for 2025-26 season - 2nd - Discussion - 22-1-2 APPROVED  MTA in first reading of the crown/crest model for Boys Tennis (option 2) - 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading both options of Crown/Crest and Bell Curve model in order to have the teams placed before the 2nd reading - 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading for boys and girls swim and dive schedule for 2025-26 - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading of cross country schedule of 2025-26 - 2nd - Discussion 2-30-2-4 APPROVED
Topic Sportsmanship  Scheduling		M Jefferson  B Brakke	No report Football - second reading, flipped from last year, no change in tiers for 2025-26. Slowpitch - second reading, flipped from last year for home and away. No change Girls Soccer - did a 1st reading, there was support for the crown/crest model. Think we should go foward with a second model, since there as not much want of change in Soccer.  Boys tennis - 1st reading - scheduling committee came up with 2 options. Option 1 - balanced model - 2 tiers - 12 teams in each division - without Cedarcrest - advantages, most 4As, help with H2H, post season seeding, Disadvantages - less insulation for teams in the lower tiers, three or four tiers allow lower tiered teams to play more even match ups. Option 2 - Crown-crest model (3 tiers) with 12 in the top, and 6/7 teams in the bottom two division. Advantages - Isulates the teams in the lake more effectively. Like the balanced model provides 4A teams in classification matchups for easier postseason seeding. Disadvantages - iess classification matches, will require some crossovers to help post season seedings. Option 1 - Crown/crest model - could mean that you have to find more non-leagues - everyone in crown/crest would play vince, the other 2 division would play twice. Option 2 - Beliecurve model - 7, 11, 7 - Would almost combine the crest and mountain - the two 7 tiers would play home and away - the 11 group would be playing eachother once - fewer classification match ups - home and home match ups may be an issue - 2 year home and home agreements would be able to happen Option 3 - stay the same as it was in the 2024-25 school year. Advantages - stays the same for home games and away games.  Girls/Boys swim and dive - some teams moved in the girls season, a few moved in the boys season  Cross country - schedule has more in classification match ups - a meet at the end of the season with more same	MTA second reading football schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 24-1-0 APPROVED  MTA Second reading slowpitch schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA second reading slowpitch schedule for 2025-26, season - 2nd - Discussion - 225-0-0 APPROVED  MTA second reading girls soccer model as crown/crest for 2025-26 season - 2nd - Discussion - 22-1-2 APPROVED  MTA in first reading of the crown/crest model for Boys Tennis (option 2) - 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading both options of Crown/Crest and Bell Curve model in order to have the teams placed before the 2nd reading - 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading for boys and girls swim and dive schedule for 2025-26 - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading of cross country schedule of 2025-28 - 2nd - Discussion 23-0-2  MTA placemenet committee process for the sports represented in document - 2nd - the 2nd - the 2nd - 2nd
Topic Sportsmanship  Scheduling  Team Placement Next 2-year cycle		M Jefferson  B Brakke  J Frost  J Appelgate	No report Football - second reading, flipped from last year, no change in tiers for 2025-26. Slowpitch - second reading, flipped from last year for home and away. No change Girls Soccer - did a 1st reading, there was support for the crown/crest model. Think we should go foward with a second model, since there as not much want of change in Soccer.  Boys tennis - 1st reading - scheduling committee came up with 2 options. Option 1 - balanced model - 2 tiers - 12 teams in each division - without Cedarcrest - advantages, most 4As, help with H2H, post season seeding. Disadvantages - less insulation for teams in the lower tiers, three or four tiers allow lower tiered teams to play more even match ups. Option 2 - Crown-crest model (3 tiers) with 12 in the top, and 6/7 teams in the bottom two division. Advantages - Isulates the teams in the lake more effectively. Like the balanced model provides 4A teams in classification matchups for easier postseason seeding. Disadvantages - less classification matches, will require some crossovers to help post season seeding. Option 1 - Crown/crest model - could mean that you have to find more non-leagues - everyone in crown/crest would play once, the other 2 division would play twice. Option 2 - Beliecurve model - 7, 11, 7 - Would almost combine the crest and mountain - the two 7 tiers would play home and away - the 11 group would be playing eachother once - fewer classification match ups - home and home match ups may be an issue - 2 year home and home agreements would be able to happen Option 3 - stay the same as it was in the 2024-25 school year. Advantages - stays the same for home games and away games.  Girls/Boys swim and dive - some teams moved in the girls season, a few moved in the boys season  Cross country - schedule has more in classification match ups - a meet at the end of the season with more same classifications in one meet.	MTA second reading football schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 24-1-0 APPROVED  MTA Second reading slowpitch schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA second reading slowpitch schedule for 2025-26, season - 2nd - Discussion - 225-0-0 APPROVED  MTA second reading girls soccer model as crown/crest for 2025-26 season - 2nd - Discussion - 22-1-2 APPROVED  MTA in first reading of the crown/crest model for Boys Tennis (option 2) - 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading both options of Crown/Crest and Bell Curve model in order to have the teams placed before the 2nd reading - 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading for boys and girls swim and dive schedule for 2025-26 - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading of cross country schedule of 2025-28 - 2nd - Discussion 23-0-2  MTA placemenet committee process for the sports represented in document - 2nd - the 2nd - the 2nd - 2nd
Topic Sportsmanship  Scheduling  Team Placement Next 2-year cycle		M Jefferson  B Brakke  J Frost  J Appelgate	No report Football - second reading, flipped from last year, no change in tiers for 2025-26. Slowpitch - second reading, flipped from last year for home and away. No change Girls Soccer - did a 1st reading, there was support for the crown/crest model. Think we should go foward with a second model, since there as not much want of change in Soccer.  Boys tennis - 1st reading - scheduling committee came up with 2 options. Option 1 - balanced model - 2 tiers - 12 teams in each division - without Cedarcrest - advantages, most 4As, help with H2H, post season seeding. Disadvantages - less insulation for teams in the lower tiers, three or four tiers allow lower tiered teams to play more even match ups. Option 2 - Crown-crest model (3 tiers) with 12 in the top, and 6/7 teams in the bottom two division. Advantages - Isulates the teams in the lake more effectively. Like the balanced model provides 4A teams in classification matchups for easier postseason seeding. Disadvantages - less classification matches, will require some crossovers to help post season seeding. Option 1 - Crown/crest model - could mean that you have to find more non-leagues - everyone in crown/crest would play once, the other 2 division would play twice. Option 2 - Beliecurve model - 7, 11, 7 - Would almost combine the crest and mountain - the two 7 tiers would play home and away - the 11 group would be playing eachother once - fewer classification match ups - home and home match ups may be an issue - 2 year home and home agreements would be able to happen Option 3 - stay the same as it was in the 2024-25 school year. Advantages - stays the same for home games and away games.  Girls/Boys swim and dive - some teams moved in the girls season, a few moved in the boys season  Cross country - schedule has more in classification match ups - a meet at the end of the season with more same classifications in one meet.	MTA second reading football schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 24-1-0 APPROVED  MTA Second reading slowpitch schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA second reading slowpitch schedule for 2025-26 season - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA second reading girls soccer model as crown/crest for 2025-26 season - 2nd - Discussion - 22-1-2 APPROVED  MTA in first reading of the crown/crest model for Boys Tennis (option 2) - 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading both options of Crown/Crest and Bell Curve model in order to have the teams placed before the 2nd reading - 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading for boys and girts swim and dive schedule for 2025-26 - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading of cross country schedule of 2025-28 - 2nd - Discussion 23-0-2  MTA placemente Committee process for the sports represented in document - 2nd - sports for the sports represented in document - 2nd - the sports represented in the sports r
Topic Sportsmanship  Scheduling  Team Placement Next 2-year cycle		M Jefferson  B Brakke  J Frost  J Appelgate	No report Football - second reading, flipped from last year, no change in tiers for 2025-26. Slowpitch - second reading, flipped from last year for home and away. No change Girls Soccer - did a 1st reading, there was support for the crown/crest model. Think we should go foward with a second model, since there as not much want of change in Soccer.  Boys tennis - 1st reading - scheduling committee came up with 2 options. Option 1 - balanced model - 2 tiers - 12 teams in each division - without Cedarcrest - advantages, most 4As, help with H2H, post season seeding. Disadvantages - less insulation for teams in the lower tiers, three or four tiers allow lower tiered teams to play more even match ups. Option 2 - Crown-crest model (3 tiers) with 12 in the top, and 6/7 teams in the bottom two division. Advantages - Isulates the teams in the lake more effectively. Like the balanced model provides 4A teams in classification matchups for easier postseason seeding. Disadvantages - less classification matches, will require some crossovers to help post season seeding. Option 1 - Crown/crest model - could mean that you have to find more non-leagues - everyone in crown/crest would play once, the other 2 division would play twice. Option 2 - Beliecurve model - 7, 11, 7 - Would almost combine the crest and mountain - the two 7 tiers would play home and away - the 11 group would be playing eachother once - fewer classification match ups - home and home match ups may be an issue - 2 year home and home agreements would be able to happen Option 3 - stay the same as it was in the 2024-25 school year. Advantages - stays the same for home games and away games.  Girls/Boys swim and dive - some teams moved in the girls season, a few moved in the boys season  Cross country - schedule has more in classification match ups - a meet at the end of the season with more same classifications in one meet.	MTA second reading football schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 24-1-0 APPROVED  MTA Second reading slowpitch schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA second reading slowpitch schedule for 2025-26 season - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA second reading girls soccer model as crown/crest for 2025-26 season - 2nd - Discussion - 22-1-2 APPROVED  MTA in first reading of the crown/crest model for Boys Tennis (option 2) - 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading both options of Crown/Crest and Bell Curve model in order to have the teams placed before the 2nd reading - 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading for boys and girts swim and dive schedule for 2025-26 - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading of cross country schedule of 2025-28 - 2nd - Discussion 23-0-2  MTA placement committee process for the sports represented in document - 2nd -
Topic Sportsmanship  Scheduling  Team Placement Next 2-year cycle		M Jefferson  B Brakke  J Frost  J Appelgate	No report Football - second reading, flipped from last year, no change in tiers for 2025-26. Slowpitch - second reading, flipped from last year for home and away. No change Girls Soccer - did a 1st reading, there was support for the crown/crest model. Think we should go foward with a second model, since there as not much want of change in Soccer.  Boys tennis - 1st reading - scheduling committee came up with 2 options. Option 1 - balanced model - 2 tiers - 12 teams in each division - without Cedarcrest - advantages, most 4As, help with H2H, post season seeding. Disadvantages - less insulation for teams in the lower tiers, three or four tiers allow lower tiered teams to play more even match ups. Option 2 - Crown-crest model (3 tiers) with 12 in the top, and 6/7 teams in the bottom two division. Advantages - Isulates the teams in the lake more effectively. Like the balanced model provides 4A teams in classification matchups for easier postseason seeding. Disadvantages - less classification matches, will require some crossovers to help post season seeding. Option 1 - Crown/crest model - could mean that you have to find more non-leagues - everyone in crown/crest would play once, the other 2 division would play twice. Option 2 - Beliecurve model - 7, 11, 7 - Would almost combine the crest and mountain - the two 7 tiers would play home and away - the 11 group would be playing eachother once - fewer classification match ups - home and home match ups may be an issue - 2 year home and home agreements would be able to happen Option 3 - stay the same as it was in the 2024-25 school year. Advantages - stays the same for home games and away games.  Girls/Boys swim and dive - some teams moved in the girls season, a few moved in the boys season  Cross country - schedule has more in classification match ups - a meet at the end of the season with more same classifications in one meet.	MTA second reading football schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 24-1-0 APPROVED  MTA Second reading slowpitch schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA second reading slowpitch schedule for 2025-26 season - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA second reading girls soccer model as crown/crest for 2025-26 season - 2nd - Discussion - 22-1-2 APPROVED  MTA in first reading of the crown/crest model for Boys Tennis (option 2) - 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading both options of Crown/Crest and Bell Curve model in order to have the teams placed before the 2nd reading - 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading for boys and girts swim and dive schedule for 2025-26 - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading of cross country schedule of 2025-28 - 2nd - Discussion 23-0-2  MTA placemente Committee process for the sports represented in document - 2nd - sports for the sports represented in document - 2nd - the sports represented in the sports r
Topic Sportsmanship Scheduling Team Placement Next 2-year cycle		M Jefferson  B Brakke  J Frost  J Appelgate	No report Football - second reading, flipped from last year, no change in tiers for 2025-26. Slowpitch - second reading, flipped from last year for home and away. No change Girls Soccer - did a 1st reading, there was support for the crown/crest model. Think we should go foward with a second model, since there as not much want of change in Soccer.  Boys tennis - 1st reading - scheduling committee came up with 2 options. Option 1 - balanced model - 2 tiers - 12 teams in each division - without Cedarcrest - advantages, most 4As, help with H2H, post season seeding. Disadvantages - less insulation for teams in the lower tiers, three or four tiers allow lower tiered teams to play more even match ups. Option 2 - Crown-crest model (3 tiers) with 12 in the top, and 6/7 teams in the bottom two division. Advantages - Isulates the teams in the lake more effectively. Like the balanced model provides 4A teams in classification matchups for easier postseason seeding. Disadvantages - less classification matches, will require some crossovers to help post season seeding. Option 1 - Crown/crest model - could mean that you have to find more non-leagues - everyone in crown/crest would play once, the other 2 division would play twice. Option 2 - Beliecurve model - 7, 11, 7 - Would almost combine the crest and mountain - the two 7 tiers would play home and away - the 11 group would be playing eachother once - fewer classification match ups - home and home match ups may be an issue - 2 year home and home agreements would be able to happen Option 3 - stay the same as it was in the 2024-25 school year. Advantages - stays the same for home games and away games.  Girls/Boys swim and dive - some teams moved in the girls season, a few moved in the boys season  Cross country - schedule has more in classification match ups - a meet at the end of the season with more same classifications in one meet.	MTA second reading football schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 24-1-0 APPROVED  MTA Second reading slowpitch schedule for 2025-26, flip of home and away - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA second reading slowpitch schedule for 2025-26 season - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA second reading girls soccer model as crown/crest for 2025-26 season - 2nd - Discussion - 22-1-2 APPROVED  MTA in first reading of the crown/crest model for Boys Tennis (option 2) - 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading both options of Crown/Crest and Bell Curve model in order to have the teams placed before the 2nd reading - 2nd - Discussion - 23-1-1 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading for boys and girts swim and dive schedule for 2025-26 - 2nd - Discussion - 25-0-0 APPROVED  MTA 1st reading of cross country schedule of 2025-28 - 2nd - Discussion 23-0-2  MTA placemente Committee process for the sports represented in document - 2nd - sports for the sports represented in document - 2nd - the sports represented in the sports r

<u>Topic</u>		Person responsible/Group	Notes	Action taken
Cross Country	FYI	John Hill	Lake Sammamish is reserved and set for both post season conferences. 8 coaches sent John an email about having their own 4A conference championship and they would host it. The answer was no.	
Football	FYI	Dan / Kyle / Phil	No report	
Boys Golf	FYI	Lance	No report	
Boys Tennis	FYI	Lindsey	No report	
Volleyball	FYI	Rick/Kealey/Gabe	Which ever days you played on last year, flip to the other set of days	
Slowpitch	FYI	Melton	No report	
Girls Swim	FYI	Jeff	No report	
Girls Soccer	FYI	Matt	No report	
Boys Basketball	FYI	Rashaad	No report	
Girls Basketball	FYI	Lindsey	No report	
Wrestling	FYI	Jason	Huge thank you to Lance, Brent, & Tia for running the floor and putting out issues all day long another big thank you to John Hill, Jason Frederick, and Jordan Frost for helping out with awards - it was the smoothest it has ever been and the cheers throughout the finals were great to hear!  Congrats to Issaquah Boys, Mercer Island Boys, Evergreen Boys, and North Creek Girls for their KingCo titles Financially, we were able to reduce AT costs by 950. Revenue increased by 1872 over last year  Next year we are looking at split the tournaments. Combining has been incredible for KingCo - creating an awesome environment and celebrating the conference, but the tournament has become too big (too many spectators with too much emotion) to manage at one time.	
Flag Football	FYI	John	No report	
Gymnastics	FYI	Rich	Had a post season meeting - coaches liked the north lake south lake set up in the schedule. Have edits for the handbook.	
Boys Swim	FYI	Jeff	Schedule has been put together - will have a second post season meeting for both girls and boys. KingCo represented well in boys swim.	
Baseball	FYI	Dan	Commissioners met for meeting on Thursday. Still working on agreements through divisions.	
Fastpitch	FYI	Melton	Make sure the coaches know about the meeting on thursday	
Track	FYI	Jordan/BJ	Sent agenda to the commissioners to over look for Thursday. Expand the entries from 16 to 18. Cheer teams interested in presenting awards at the kingCo and seaking meet	
Girls Tennis	FYI	Colin	Need to come up with where Lincoln fits in when it comes to post season	
Girls Golf	FYI	Lance	Going to spend a bunch of time with coaches with wannamaker, if you could check in with your coaches if they have walked through how to use the app with their student-athletes  Please don't just move a golf match without talking to the other team before moving it.	
Badminton	FYI	Wes	No report	
Boys Soccer	FYI	Matt	Please reach out to soccer coaches to check the email from Matt if there will be a move to the meeting if Liberty boys make it to the tacoma dome and would mean no commissioners will be at the meeting. Will know by Wednesday night or Thursday morning.	
Dance/Drill	FYI	Lori	Teams have either qualified or haven't by this time	
Cheer	FYI	Kealey	No report	